Minutes of the Extraordinary Meeting of Stradbroke Parish Council

held at the Court House, Stradbroke on Monday, **29th January 2024** commencing at 7.30pm

Present: Russell Ayling, Helen Ball, James Hargrave, Maureen John, Karen Lynch, Susan Loxton, Caroline Stebbing (C), Toni Wisbey

In attendance: Odile Wladon (Clerk) and 2 members of the public.

| Item Ref | Description | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| 24.01.19 | Chairman's welcome | |
| | All were welcomed to the meeting | |
| 24.01.20 | Apologies for Absence | |
| | (a) Councillors received apologies for absence from Mandy Allen and Antony Bush | |
| | (b) Councillors voted to accept the apologies received. | |
| 24.01.21 | Declarations of Interests | |
| | Councillors declared interests in subsequent agenda items as follows: | |
| | (a) Pecuniary Interests - none | |
| | (b) Other registerable interests - none | |
| | (c) Non-registerable interests – as residents of Stradbroke, it was noted that all ClIrs had a | |
| | non-registerable interest in the matters to be discussed. | |
| 24.01.22 | Dispensations: None requested | |
| 24.01.23 | Public forum | |
| | Question: Eye seems to have a community police officer enforcing parking restrictions, why | |
| | does Stradbroke not have one? | |
| | Response: this is likely to be a civil enforcement officer rather than a police officer. | |
| | Question: There appears to be no vehicles on any road in photos in the assessment – was | |
| | this deliberate? | |
| | Response: It is likely the assessor wanted to highlight the road not the vehicles and waited | |
| | for a clear road to be able to illustrate fully where and how improvements could be made. | |
| 24.01.24 | Highways | |
| | ETHOS consulting | |
| | CS introduced the item and advised that the intention of the meeting is to review all | |
| | suggestions, to enable ETHOS to refine these into a document to take to public | |
| | consultation. | |
| | KL & OW have looked at refining the issues into a memory jogger for the meeting. | |
| | KL will lead on the project. | |
| Current issues: | KL asked Councillors to put forward any current issues not noted in the assessment. | |
| | HB: commented that during a meeting with VOSH (pupil committee at local High School), | |
| | the children were asking if a controlled pedestrian (zebra) crossing was possible on Wilby | |
| | Road. | |
| Interventions: | There are 15 possible interventions identified by ETHOS. Councillors were asked to provide | |
| | their views on each intervention. There were in depth discussions on 7 areas. At this | |
| | meeting the budget limitations were not considered. But it was noted that ETHOS | |
| | recommend that the Parish Council prepares work packages for public consultation. | |

| Intervention | Pros | Cons |
|---------------|--|--|
| Pedestrian | Footways are narrow in some areas. Maybe | Parking in front of existing dropped kerbs etc |
| accessibility | the Council should identify a specific area to | does not help. |
| | concentrate on | |

| Queen St | Queen Street – zebra crossing is a condition | No footway from Westhall to the site of the |
|------------|---|---|
| Crossing | for the Grove Farm development | proposed crossing. |
| Continuous | | No need, particularly if footway on the other |
| footways | | side will take pedestrians to a crossing away |
| D. i it | Description New Observation data Cald Description | from that junction. |
| Priority | Required on New Street and Laxfield Road. | Some Cllrs were not keen, given the negative |
| GIVEWAY | Would help to slow traffic. | comments about schemes in other villages. |
| | Theory ok – but would need to be in the right | |
| | place. | |
| Wilby Rd | It was noted that cars should stop currently if | Split on whether this should be a controlled |
| Crossing | there is a pedestrian wanting to cross | or uncontrolled crossing. |
| | anywhere. | |
| Junction | Double yellow lines needed. | No mini roundabout. |
| Queen St/ | | No pedestrian crossing. |
| Church St | | Textured road surface would not help and |
| | | could lead to complaints about noise. |
| | | Not addressing the key issue which is |
| | | visibility rather than speed. |
| Gateways | Good idea where visible | May not fit or be necessary on Wilby Road or |
| | | New Street. |

| Item Ref | Description |
|-----------------------|--|
| Phasing | Councillors noted that in an ideal world, if the funding allowed, all interventions would take |
| | place simultaneously. However, the funding may be limited or staggered therefore Cllrs |
| | reviewed the potential phasing of the interventions, noting that the responsibility for some |
| | of the interventions lies with 3 rd parties. The main priority currently is improving the |
| | junction at Queen Street and Church Street. |
| Phase 1 | 20mph limit on Queen Street. |
| | Double yellow lines on Queen Street/Church Street junction. |
| | Crossing at Wilby Road: to include both controlled and uncontrolled options and costings |
| | Speed indicator devices: 3 in the current locations and 1 additional on Laxfield Road |
| | approaching the village. |
| | 4 x 40mph limits on approach (noting that once TRO obtained it is possible that |
| | implementation could be staggered on 2 entrances at a time). |
| | Gateways at Queen Street and Laxfield Road entrance to the 30mph zone. |
| | Investigate if 20mph can include all conservation area. |
| Phase 2 | Priorty GIVEWAY areas on both New Street and Laxfield Road |
| | Footway improvements |
| | Signage improvements |
| | 20mph zone in conservation area |
| 3 rd Party | Controlled crossing on Queen Street (Grove Farm) development. |
| | Need for footway from Westhall to enable pedestrians to access this. |
| Not | Removal of white lines. |
| considered | Continuous footway at Mill Road. |
| suitable / | Road enhancements at Church Street. |
| necessary | Mini roundabout. |
| | No raised or textured road surfaces. |
| | Controlled crossing on New Street. |
| | Gateways on New Street or Wilby Road approaches. |

| Item Ref | Description |
|----------------|--|
| Follow up with | Councillors asked for the following matters to be raised for feasibility and/or clarified with |
| ETHOS | ETHOS: |
| | Double yellow lines on Queen St/Church St junction |
| | A controlled crossing for Wilby Road, alongside the proposal for an uncontrolled crossing? |
| | "STOP" sign be used at the top of Queen Street (junction with Church Street) rather than a "GIVEWAY" |
| | Changing the priority of the junction of Queen Street and Church St, making vehicles coming from Laxfield and Eye directions "GIVEWAY" rather than those travelling from Queen Street. |
| | Adding a footway from Westhall to the proposed controlled crossing near Grove Farm on Queen Street |
| 24.10.25 | Date of next meeting: 12 th February 2024 |

Meeting closed at 9.15pm

Signed: _____

Date: